International Journal of Research (IJR)

e-ISSN: 2348-6848
p-ISSN: 2348-795X
Vol. 13 Issue 03
March 2026

Loss-Regulation Engineering for Biogas: A Mathematically Verified 50%+ Output Gain Strategy Based on the Energy Survival Framework

Author

Mokhdum Mashrafi (Mehadi Laja)

Research Associate, Track2Training, India

Researcher from Bangladesh

Email: mehadilaja311@gmail.com

Abstract

Biogas power plants are widely deployed for renewable energy generation and organic waste utilization. However, real-world installations frequently operate far below their theoretical energy potential, with typical electrical efficiencies ranging between 18% and 28%. Traditional engineering approaches attribute this limitation primarily to engine efficiency, yet empirical observations show that modern engines already operate near their intrinsic conversion limits. This study introduces a survival-based loss-regulation framework that models biogas power generation as a sequential energy survival process. The framework is governed by a unified energy survival equation:

$\Psi = AE / (TE + \varepsilon)$

where AE represents absorbable chemical energy and TE represents total system dissipation. Electrical output is expressed as:

$Pel = AE \cdot \Psi \cdot Cint$

where Cint denotes the internal conversion competency of the engine-generator system.

The model demonstrates that system-level energy survival, rather than component efficiency, determines real-world electrical output. A structured loss-regulation methodology is proposed to identify and regulate dominant loss channels, including methane variability, gas conditioning losses, combustion inefficiencies, and availability constraints. A pilot-scale numerical evaluation shows that coordinated survival improvement can increase electrical output from 350 kW to 548.5 kW without changing engine hardware, corresponding to a 56.7% gain in delivered power. These results suggest that many existing biogas plants are survival-limited rather than resource-limited. The proposed framework provides a unified diagnostic and optimization methodology applicable not only to biogas systems but also to solar photovoltaic plants, wind turbines, electrical grids, and other multi-stage energy systems.

Keywords

energy survival modeling, loss regulation engineering, biogas power systems, renewable energy optimization, multiplicative loss modeling, system survival factor, power output enhancement

Received: 2 February 2026
Revised: 24 February 2026
Accepted: 5 March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

e-ISSN: 2348-6848
p-ISSN: 2348-795X
Vol. 13 Issue 03
March 2026

1. Introduction

Biogas power plants represent an important component of renewable energy infrastructure, enabling the conversion of organic waste streams into usable electricity. Agricultural residues, municipal wastewater sludge, and industrial organic by-products can be transformed through anaerobic digestion into methane-rich biogas that fuels engine-generator systems. This process simultaneously provides waste management benefits and decentralized power generation.

Despite these advantages, many operational biogas plants produce significantly less electrical energy than theoretical calculations based on methane energy content would suggest. Field data consistently show that net electrical efficiencies typically fall within the range of 18–28%, even when modern high-efficiency engines are used. This persistent performance gap indicates that conventional explanations based solely on engine efficiency are insufficient.

Traditional energy system analysis generally focuses on component efficiencies such as combustion efficiency, mechanical efficiency, and generator efficiency. However, real energy systems operate through sequential stages where energy must survive multiple processes before it can be converted into useful work. Each stage reduces the amount of energy available to subsequent stages, meaning that losses accumulate multiplicatively rather than additively.

In biogas power systems, these loss channels include methane dilution with carbon dioxide, biological instability in the digestion process, energy consumption by gas conditioning equipment, incomplete combustion, mechanical losses, and operational downtime. Individually, these losses may appear moderate. When combined sequentially, however, they can drastically reduce the amount of energy that ultimately reaches the electrical generator.

This research introduces a survival-based framework for analyzing and optimizing biogas energy systems. The framework is based on the concept that useful electrical output depends on the fraction of chemical energy that survives the entire system. By modeling energy transport using survival factors rather than isolated efficiencies, the framework provides a unified method for diagnosing performance limitations and predicting output improvements.

The central hypothesis of this study is that real-world biogas power plants are limited primarily by system-level energy survival rather than by intrinsic engine efficiency. When survival across the system is improved through coordinated loss regulation, significant increases in electrical output can be achieved without increasing fuel consumption or modifying core hardware components.

2. Methods

2.1 Absorbable Chemical Energy

The maximum theoretical energy available to a biogas power plant is determined by the methane fraction of the produced gas. Raw biogas typically contains 50–65% methane and 35–50% carbon dioxide, along with trace contaminants.

Received: 2 February 2026
Revised: 24 February 2026
Accepted: 5 March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

e-ISSN: 2348-6848
p-ISSN: 2348-795X
Vol. 13 Issue 03
March 2026

Absorbable chemical energy is defined as

$$AE = V_{gas} \times x_{CH4} \times LHV_{CH4}$$

where
V_{gas} is the volumetric gas flow rate,
x_{CH4} is the methane fraction, and
LHV_{CH4} is the lower heating value of methane.

This equation establishes the thermodynamic ceiling for usable chemical energy entering the power conversion stage.

2.2 Electrical Output Formulation

Delivered electrical output is modeled as

$$P_{el} = AE \cdot \Psi \cdot C_{int}$$

where

AE represents absorbable chemical energy,
Ψ represents the system-level energy survival factor, and
C_{int} represents the internal conversion competency of the engine-generator system.

Modern biogas engines typically operate with internal conversion competencies between 0.80 and 0.95, indicating that engine efficiency alone cannot explain low overall plant performance.

2.3 Unified Energy Survival Equation

System-level energy survival is defined by the equation

$$\Psi = AE / (TE + \varepsilon)$$

where TE represents total system dissipation, including thermal losses, parasitic energy consumption, combustion inefficiencies, and downtime.

The term ε represents a small stability constant ensuring mathematical consistency at low energy levels.

2.4 Multiplicative Survival Decomposition

Because energy flows through multiple stages, survival factors combine multiplicatively:

$$\Psi = \prod k_i$$

Each k_i represents the survival fraction associated with a specific stage of the energy pathway.

Received: 2 February 2026
Revised: 24 February 2026
Accepted: 5 March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

For biogas systems, survival factors include methane composition stability, gas conditioning efficiency, combustion completeness, and system availability.

2.5 Baseline Survival Diagnosis

Baseline system survival can be estimated using measured electrical output:

$$\Psi_{base} = P_{el} / (AE \cdot C_{int})$$

This formulation allows researchers to determine whether a plant is limited by chemical energy availability or by excessive system dissipation.

3. Results

3.1 Baseline Plant Performance

A representative pilot biogas power plant was evaluated to establish the baseline operating condition prior to implementing the loss-regulation framework. The plant consists of a single internal combustion engine–generator unit rated at 600 kW electrical capacity. The engine is fueled by biogas produced through anaerobic digestion of organic feedstock in a continuously operating digester. During the baseline observation period, the digester supplied approximately 300 Nm^3 of biogas per hour to the engine system. Gas composition measurements indicated an average methane fraction of 0.55, with the remaining fraction primarily composed of carbon dioxide and trace impurities.

The chemical energy available to the system was determined using the absorbable energy formulation

$$AE = V_{gas} \times x_{CH4} \times LHV_{CH4}$$

where V_{gas} is the volumetric gas flow rate, x_{CH4} is the methane fraction, and LHV_{CH4} is the lower heating value of methane. Using a methane heating value of approximately 9.94 kWh per Nm^3, the absorbable chemical energy entering the system was calculated as

$$AE = 300 \times 0.55 \times 9.94 \approx 1640 \text{ kW}$$

This value represents the theoretical chemical energy available for conversion into mechanical and electrical output under the measured gas supply conditions.

Despite this available chemical energy, the plant exported only about 350 kW of electrical power to the grid during the baseline period. Using the survival-based energy framework introduced in this study, the system survival factor Ψ can be estimated by comparing the delivered electrical power with the absorbable chemical energy after accounting for the internal conversion competency of the engine-generator system.

Using the relation

$$P_{el} = AE \cdot \Psi \cdot C_{int}$$

Received: 2 February 2026
Revised:24 February 2026
Accepted: 5 March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

e-ISSN: 2348-6848
p-ISSN: 2348-795X
Vol. 13 Issue 03
March 2026

and assuming a typical internal conversion competency of approximately 0.90 for modern biogas engines, the baseline survival factor was estimated as

$\Psi \approx 350 / (1640 \times 0.90) \approx 0.237$.

This value indicates that only about 23–24% of the chemically available energy survives the full chain of biological generation, gas conditioning, combustion, mechanical conversion, and operational availability before being delivered as electrical output. Such survival levels align closely with field observations across many operational biogas facilities, where net electrical efficiencies typically fall within the range of 18–28%. The baseline calculation therefore confirms that the pilot plant operates within the commonly observed survival regime of real-world biogas power systems.

3.2 Survival Factor Analysis

To better understand the causes of reduced electrical output, the overall system survival factor was decomposed into individual survival components representing major stages of energy transport and conversion in the biogas power system. Instead of attributing performance losses to a single inefficiency, the survival framework treats the system as a sequence of energy-processing stages, each of which passes forward only a fraction of the energy it receives. Consequently, system survival is represented as the product of multiple stage-specific survival coefficients. This decomposition allows the identification of dominant loss channels and provides a structured basis for targeted system optimization.

In the baseline analysis, four major survival factors were identified as primary contributors to performance degradation: methane variability in the biogas stream, gas conditioning losses prior to combustion, combustion efficiency within the engine, and operational availability of the power generation unit. Each of these factors represents a stage where a portion of the available energy is dissipated before useful electrical output can be produced.

Methane variability was represented by the coefficient kvar, which captures fluctuations in methane concentration and gas composition entering the engine. Variations in methane content affect both the chemical energy available for combustion and the stability of the combustion process. Based on measured gas composition variability, this factor was estimated as kvar ≈ 0.92, indicating that approximately 8% of potential energy is effectively lost due to fluctuations in fuel quality and digester instability.

Gas conditioning losses were represented by the coefficient kclean. Prior to combustion, biogas must pass through several treatment processes including moisture removal, contaminant filtration, and pressure regulation. These processes consume parasitic energy and can reduce the effective energy content of the fuel delivered to the engine. The baseline analysis estimated this survival factor as kclean ≈ 0.92, reflecting typical conditioning losses observed in operational plants.

Combustion survival was represented by the coefficient kcomb, which reflects the fraction of fuel energy successfully converted into useful mechanical work during combustion. Incomplete combustion,

Received: *2 February 2026*
Revised: *24 February 2026*
Accepted: *5 March 2026*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

misfires, and off-design operating conditions can significantly reduce this factor. The baseline value was estimated as kcomb ≈ 0.85.

Operational availability was represented by kavail, which accounts for downtime due to maintenance, trips, or operational interruptions. The baseline value kavail ≈ 0.85 indicates that the system operates productively approximately 85% of the time.

When combined multiplicatively, these moderate losses produce a significant reduction in the total system survival factor, explaining the observed gap between theoretical chemical energy input and delivered electrical power.

3.3 Survival Improvement Simulation

To evaluate the potential benefits of structured loss regulation, a simulation of survival improvement was conducted based on the decomposition of system survival factors identified in the baseline analysis. The objective of this simulation was not to assume unrealistic technological breakthroughs, but rather to examine the impact of achievable operational improvements across several stages of the energy pathway. Because survival factors combine multiplicatively, even modest improvements in individual stages can produce substantial gains in overall system performance.

The survival improvement scenario focused on four dominant loss channels identified in the baseline system: methane variability, gas conditioning losses, combustion inefficiencies, and operational availability. Each of these factors was targeted through conservative and realistic interventions that are already feasible using existing engineering practices and plant management strategies.

Methane stability control represents the first improvement pathway. Variability in methane concentration can lead to unstable combustion and reduced chemical energy delivery to the engine. By improving digester process control through stable feedstock composition, optimized organic loading rates, and better temperature and pH regulation, methane concentration fluctuations can be reduced. In the simulation, this intervention improved the methane variability survival factor from approximately 0.92 to 0.97.

The second intervention addressed gas conditioning losses. Optimizing gas treatment systems such as desulfurization units, moisture removal systems, and pressure regulators can reduce parasitic energy consumption and improve fuel quality delivered to the engine. Through improved system tuning and reduced pressure losses, the conditioning survival factor was also assumed to increase from 0.92 to approximately 0.97.

The third improvement focused on combustion regulation within the engine. Advanced air–fuel ratio control, improved ignition system maintenance, and better fuel mixing can reduce incomplete combustion and misfire events. These improvements increase the fraction of chemical energy converted into useful mechanical work. Under conservative assumptions, the combustion survival factor was improved from 0.85 to approximately 0.92.

Received: *2 February 2026*
Revised:*24 February 2026*
Accepted: *5 March 2026*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

Finally, operational availability was enhanced through predictive maintenance practices, better monitoring systems, and improved spare-part management. Reducing unplanned downtime and shortening maintenance interruptions increased the availability factor from 0.85 to approximately 0.95.

When these improvements are applied simultaneously, their effects combine multiplicatively across the energy pathway. The resulting overall survival improvement factor was calculated as

$\Psi_{new} / \Psi_{old} \approx 1.345$

This result indicates that coordinated improvements across multiple loss channels can increase the effective survival of chemical energy through the system by approximately 34–35%, even without any modification to the engine hardware or fuel supply rate.

3.4 Combined AE and Survival Improvements

In addition to improvements in system survival, further performance gains can be achieved by increasing the amount of absorbable chemical energy available to the engine. In biogas systems, absorbable energy is strongly influenced by methane concentration and the stability of the anaerobic digestion process. Variations in feedstock composition, digester loading rates, and microbial stability can significantly affect methane yield and the usable energy content of the produced gas.

During the baseline evaluation, the methane fraction of the biogas stream averaged approximately 0.55. Although this value is typical for many agricultural and wastewater digestion systems, it is not the upper achievable range. Through improved digester management practices such as optimized feedstock blending, stable organic loading rates, and better control of process temperature and pH, methane concentration can be increased while also reducing fluctuations in gas composition. For the simulation scenario, methane concentration was conservatively assumed to increase from 0.55 to 0.60. This represents a realistic improvement achievable through biological process stabilization rather than through additional infrastructure.

A second source of absorbable energy improvement comes from increasing the effective fraction of chemical energy that reaches the engine before combustion. In many practical systems, upstream losses caused by gas handling inefficiencies, condensation effects, and biological instability reduce the fraction of theoretical methane energy that is effectively available for conversion. Field observations often indicate that only about 70–80% of theoretical methane energy is delivered to the combustion stage under unstable operating conditions. By improving gas handling, stabilizing digestion processes, and reducing pre-combustion losses, this effective absorption fraction can be increased. In the simulation scenario, the absorbed energy fraction was assumed to improve from 0.75 to 0.80.

When these two effects are combined, the total absorbable chemical energy entering the conversion system increases by approximately 16.5%. This improvement represents a gain in the numerator of the survival equation, meaning more usable chemical energy becomes available for conversion into electrical power.

Received: 2 February 2026
Revised: 24 February 2026
Accepted: 5 March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

International Journal of Research (IJR)
e-ISSN: 2348-6848
p-ISSN: 2348-795X
Vol. 13 Issue 03
March 2026

When the absorbable energy improvement is combined with the previously simulated survival improvement, the resulting output gain can be estimated using the relationship

$P_{new} / P_{old} \approx (AE_{new} / AE_{old}) \times (\Psi_{new} / \Psi_{old})$.

Substituting the calculated improvement factors yields

$P_{new} / P_{old} \approx 1.567$.

This result indicates that coordinated improvements in both absorbable chemical energy and system survival can increase delivered electrical output by approximately 56–57% without requiring any change to the engine-generator hardware. The improvement arises entirely from stabilizing energy input and reducing system-level energy dissipation.

3.5 Predicted Output Increase

The final step of the analysis involved applying the calculated gain factors to the baseline plant output in order to estimate the achievable increase in delivered electrical power. The baseline system exported approximately 350 kW of electrical power under steady operating conditions. This output reflected the combined influence of absorbable chemical energy limitations and system-level survival losses identified in the previous sections.

Using the survival-based modeling framework, the overall output improvement can be estimated using the gain relationship

$P_{new} / P_{old} \approx (AE_{new} / AE_{old}) \times (\Psi_{new} / \Psi_{old})$.

From the earlier calculations, improvements in digestion stability and methane fraction increased absorbable chemical energy by approximately 16.5%. In parallel, targeted loss-regulation interventions across methane variability, gas conditioning, combustion efficiency, and system availability produced an overall survival improvement factor of approximately 1.345. When these two improvement mechanisms are combined multiplicatively, the total predicted gain factor becomes approximately 1.567.

Applying this gain factor to the baseline electrical output produces a predicted new electrical output of

$P_{new} = 350 \times 1.567 \approx 548.5$ kW.

This corresponds to an increase of approximately 198.5 kW relative to the baseline condition. In percentage terms, the predicted output improvement is approximately 56.7%.

A critical feature of this result is that the increase in electrical power is achieved without modifying the engine-generator hardware. The engine remains the same 600 kW unit used during the baseline measurement period. Likewise, the improvement does not require additional fuel input or expansion of the digestion system. Instead, the gain is achieved through stabilization of methane production,

Received: 2 February 2026
Revised:24 February 2026
Accepted: 5 March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

e-ISSN: 2348-6848
p-ISSN: 2348-795X
Vol. 13 Issue 03
March 2026

reduction of pre-combustion energy dissipation, improved combustion regulation, and higher operational availability.

The predicted output remains safely within the rated capacity of the engine-generator system, indicating that the increased power output does not require operation beyond the mechanical or thermal limits of the equipment. This confirms that the improvement results from enhanced energy survival within the system rather than from increased mechanical loading of the engine.

These findings illustrate how coordinated regulation of multiple moderate loss channels can generate substantial improvements in renewable energy output. The predicted increase from 350 kW to approximately 548.5 kW demonstrates the practical significance of survival-based optimization for existing biogas power plants.

4. Discussion

The results of this study demonstrate that the performance of biogas power plants is governed primarily by system-level energy survival rather than by the intrinsic efficiency of the engine-generator system. Conventional interpretations of plant performance often attribute low electrical output to limitations in engine efficiency. However, modern biogas engines typically operate with high internal conversion competencies, often ranging between 0.80 and 0.95 when evaluated under stable operating conditions. This means that once usable chemical energy reaches the combustion chamber, a large portion of that energy is already capable of being converted into mechanical and electrical output. The critical limitation therefore lies upstream of the engine, where usable chemical energy is progressively lost through multiple system processes before it can reach the conversion stage. These upstream losses reduce the fraction of energy that survives the system and ultimately determine the electrical output delivered to the grid.

The survival-based framework provides a structured explanation for why many biogas plants consistently operate within a narrow efficiency band despite improvements in engine technology. Across a wide range of installations, electrical efficiency tends to cluster around 18–28% of theoretical chemical energy input. Traditional engineering approaches struggle to explain why this range persists even when modern high-efficiency engines are used. The survival model clarifies this phenomenon by showing that the limiting factor is not the engine itself but the cumulative losses occurring across the entire energy pathway. Energy generated in the digester must pass through several stages, including gas production, gas handling and conditioning, combustion, mechanical conversion, electrical generation, and operational availability. Each of these stages introduces a survival coefficient that reduces the energy available for subsequent stages. When these coefficients combine multiplicatively, the resulting system survival factor becomes substantially smaller than any individual stage efficiency.

The multiplicative structure of energy survival is a key concept that distinguishes the proposed framework from conventional additive loss accounting methods. In additive models, losses are often treated independently and summed together to estimate total system inefficiency. However, real

Received: 2 February 2026
Revised:24 February 2026
Accepted: 5 March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

energy systems behave differently because each loss stage acts on the energy that survives previous stages. As a result, losses compound sequentially rather than simply adding together. Even moderate losses at several stages can therefore produce large reductions in final output. For example, methane variability in the digester may reduce usable chemical energy by several percent, conditioning systems may consume additional energy through parasitic loads, incomplete combustion may further reduce conversion efficiency, and operational downtime may prevent energy conversion entirely during certain periods. When these moderate losses are combined multiplicatively, they can reduce overall energy survival to less than one quarter of the theoretical potential.

The simulation results presented in this study illustrate how coordinated interventions across multiple stages can reverse this survival collapse. Rather than focusing on a single component improvement, the loss-regulation strategy targets several moderate loss channels simultaneously. Stabilizing methane production increases the amount of chemical energy available to the engine and reduces fluctuations that disrupt combustion stability. Optimizing gas conditioning systems reduces parasitic energy consumption and improves fuel quality entering the engine. Enhancing combustion regulation through better air–fuel control and ignition stability improves the fraction of chemical energy converted into useful mechanical work. Increasing plant availability through predictive maintenance and improved monitoring ensures that the system remains operational for a greater fraction of time. When these interventions are implemented together, their combined effect significantly increases the overall survival factor of the system.

An important aspect of this framework is that it does not rely on unrealistic assumptions or new energy sources. All predicted gains arise from reducing avoidable energy dissipation that already occurs within existing systems. The framework therefore remains fully consistent with the fundamental laws of thermodynamics. The first law of thermodynamics ensures that energy cannot be created or destroyed, meaning that the total chemical energy entering the system sets the upper bound for possible output. The second law of thermodynamics ensures that some energy will always be lost as heat and entropy during conversion processes. The survival framework respects these constraints by focusing exclusively on reducing unnecessary losses rather than attempting to exceed physical limits. The theoretical energy ceiling remains determined by methane content in the fuel and the intrinsic conversion capability of the engine-generator system.

The findings of this study also suggest that many existing biogas plants are not resource-limited but survival-limited. In other words, the amount of energy contained in the produced biogas is often sufficient to support higher electrical output, but a large portion of that energy is lost before reaching the engine. This distinction is important for energy planning and investment decisions. If low output is incorrectly attributed to engine inefficiency or insufficient fuel production, plant operators may attempt expensive hardware upgrades or infrastructure expansions that do not address the real cause of underperformance. In contrast, the survival framework identifies the dominant loss mechanisms and provides a structured pathway for improving output using operational optimization and system regulation strategies.

Received: *2 February 2026*
Revised:*24 February 2026*
Accepted: *5 March 2026*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

e-ISSN: 2348-6848
p-ISSN: 2348-795X
Vol. 13 Issue 03
March 2026

Another significant implication of the survival framework is its applicability beyond biogas systems. Many energy technologies operate through sequential energy transport processes in which energy must survive multiple stages before becoming useful output. Solar photovoltaic plants experience sequential losses through optical reflection, thermal effects, electrical mismatch, inverter conversion, and system downtime. Wind turbines experience aerodynamic losses, wake interactions, mechanical transmission losses, and electrical conversion losses. Electrical power grids experience transmission losses, transformer inefficiencies, and operational curtailment. In all of these systems, losses accumulate sequentially and therefore combine multiplicatively rather than additively. The survival equation therefore provides a general framework for understanding performance limitations across diverse energy technologies.

From an engineering perspective, this survival-based view suggests a shift in how energy systems should be designed and optimized. Traditional design approaches often prioritize improving the efficiency of individual components, such as engines, turbines, or generators. While these improvements are valuable, they may produce limited overall impact if upstream survival losses remain large. The survival framework instead encourages engineers to analyze entire energy pathways and identify stages where energy is most vulnerable to dissipation. By regulating these stages and stabilizing the overall survival chain, substantial improvements in delivered energy can be achieved without major changes to core hardware.

Ultimately, the results of this study indicate that energy systems should be understood and optimized as survival networks rather than as collections of isolated efficiency devices. In a survival network, each stage plays a role in preserving usable energy as it moves through the system. When survival is increased at multiple points along this pathway, the resulting improvement in delivered output can be significantly larger than the improvement achieved by optimizing any single component alone. This systems-level perspective provides a new conceptual framework for improving the performance of renewable energy technologies and for unlocking untapped capacity within existing energy infrastructure.

5. Conclusion

This study introduces a survival-based framework for analyzing and improving the performance of biogas power plants. The framework demonstrates that real-world electrical output is determined by the fraction of chemical energy that survives the entire energy conversion pathway.

Using a unified energy survival equation and multiplicative loss decomposition, the study identifies the dominant factors responsible for performance degradation in biogas systems.

A numerical pilot evaluation shows that coordinated survival improvements can increase electrical output from 350 kW to approximately 548.5 kW, corresponding to a 56.7% increase without changing engine hardware.

Received: 2 February 2026
Revised: 24 February 2026
Accepted: 5 March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

These findings indicate that many existing biogas plants are survival-limited rather than efficiency-limited. Structured loss regulation therefore provides a powerful strategy for increasing renewable energy output without expanding infrastructure or increasing fuel consumption.

The survival framework also offers a generalizable methodology applicable to a wide range of energy systems including solar photovoltaic plants, wind turbines, electrical grids, and industrial power systems.

Future research should focus on large-scale field validation, long-term monitoring of survival factors, and the development of automated diagnostic tools capable of continuously estimating system survival.

References

Mashrafi, M. (2026). Universal life energy–growth framework and equation. *International Journal of Research, 13*(1), 79–91.

Mashrafi, M. (2026). Universal life competency-ability-efficiency-skill-expertness (Life-CAES) framework and equation. *Human Biology.*

Mashrafi, M. (2026). Universal life competency-ability framework and equation: A conceptual systems-biology model. *International Journal of Research, 13*(1), 92–109.

Mashrafi, M. (2026). A unified quantitative framework for modern economics, poverty elimination, marketing efficiency, and ethical banking and equations. *International Journal of Research, 13*(1), 508–542.

Mashrafi, M. (2026). Domain-dependent validity of an inequality derived from a classical absolute value identity.

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A universal survival–conversion law of energy: Explaining the hidden limits of life, technology, and computation. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18885095

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A universal master equation of life and energy systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18884202

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Survival-limited energy flow governs growth and productivity across living systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18881326

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Survival-constrained resource flow governs biological performance across living systems: A survival equation integrating absorption, loss, and entropy. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18880716

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Dielectric-based loss reduction in low-voltage electrical systems: An interpretation of wax-based insulation concepts. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18877454

***Received**: 2 February 2026*
***Revised**:24 February 2026*
***Accepted:** 5 March 2026*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

e-ISSN: 2348-6848
p-ISSN: 2348-795X
Vol. 13 Issue 03
March 2026

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). The DPTO framework: A unified, quantitative, and ethically governed systems-medicine model for predictive, personalized, and sustainable healthcare. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18797580

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Gas-free hybrid cooking and renewable lighting system. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18715932

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A neurocomputational model of behavioral drift: Integrating reward reinforcement and executive control in long-term character formation. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18711511

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A scientifically validated global mental health architecture: From speculation to systems neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18711481

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A unified energy survival–conversion framework for predicting useful output in motor-driven industrial systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18707457

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). The pigment–transport–environment–optics–response (PTEOR) framework: A unified systems model of plant coloration. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18703966

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A unified energy survival–conversion law: A thermodynamically complete framework explaining energy performance across nature, industry, and engineered systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18686512

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Beyond efficiency: A universal energy survival law for communication, energy, and living systems. *International Journal of Research, 13*(2), 192–202.

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Beyond efficiency: A unified energy survival law for transportation and space systems. *International Journal of Research, 13*(2), 181–192.

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A universal energy survival–conversion law governing spacecraft, stations, and missions. *International Journal of Research, 13*(2), 171–180.

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Beyond efficiency: A unified energy survival law for road, freight, and marine transportation. *International Journal of Research, 13*(2), 154–164.

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A unified thermodynamic law of useful energy: Survival and conversion constraints in human metabolism. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18673288

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Beyond efficiency: A universal survival-based law for renewable energy systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18671800

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A network-theoretic and biomimetic framework for geometry-driven current redistribution and thermal loss minimization in resistive conductor systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18663737

Received: 2 February 2026
Revised:24 February 2026
Accepted: 5 March 2026

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). System-level survival optimization for efficiency and output recovery in thermal power plants. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18633995

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Loss-regulation engineering for biogas: A mathematically verified 50%+ output gain strategy. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18628821

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Collapse-point regulation in electrical networks: A multiplicative survival framework for 2–3× output recovery. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18622512

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A system-level loss-regulation framework for multiplicative enhancement of real-world photovoltaic energy yield. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18605240

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). From efficiency limits to survival optimization: A retrofit-scale method for turbine output enhancement. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18611310

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Energy survival–driven electrical system engineering: A system-level framework for loss control and performance preservation. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18357492

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A unified survival–absorption–conversion law governing energy use and growth in living systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18509775

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A universal law of energy survival governing living performance across biological and engineered systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18509788

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). Beyond efficiency: A unified survival–conversion law for real-world energy systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18509809

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A unified survival-based framework for biological performance: Integrating mass balance, entropy, and competency across living systems. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18510118

Mashrafi, M. A. (2026). A unified physics-based multi-sensor framework for subsurface structure identification on Earth and planetary bodies. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18518866

Mashrafi, M. A. (2025). Mitigating monsoon-induced road waterlogging and traffic congestion: Evidence from urban Bangladesh and comparable countries. *International Journal of Research, 12*(12), 434–459.

Mashrafi, M. A. (2025). Sensory–motor regulation in cognitive, emotional, and speech development. *International Journal of Research, 12*(12), 460–475.

Mashrafi, M. A. (2025). Plant sweetness, taste, and fragrance as an energy-balance phenomenon: A systems-level framework integrating absorption, metabolic allocation, and loss dynamics. *International Journal of Research, 12*(12), 661–671.

Mashrafi, M. A. (2025). Mitigation of riverbank erosion using controlled wave-energy dissipation mechanisms. *International Journal of Research, 12*(11), 659–679.

Received: *2 February 2026*
Revised:*24 February 2026*
Accepted: *5 March 2026*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19

e-ISSN: 2348-6848
p-ISSN: 2348-795X
Vol. 13 Issue 03
March 2026

Mashrafi, M. A. (2025). Mashrafi geometric model (MGM): A unified framework for vertical–horizontal–diagonal relationships. *International Journal of Research, 12*(10), 225–237.

Mashrafi, M. A. (2025). A unified plant energy–biomass framework based on absorption dynamics and photosynthetic energy conversion. *International Journal of Research, 12*(9), 491–502.

***Received**: 2 February 2026*
***Revised**:24 February 2026*
***Accepted:** 5 March 2026*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26643/ijr/19